
What Percentage Of Communication Is Verbal

What
Percentage Of
Communication
Is Verbal

Downloaded
from
remodelicious.com
by Decker &
Jaiden

RETHINKING THE NUMBERS: WHAT PERCENTAGE OF COMMUNICATION IS VERBAL?

We have all heard the statistic at some point. It is repeated in business meetings, quoted in self-help books, and cited in communication workshops with unwavering certainty. The claim is that only a small fraction of what we communicate

comes from the actual words we use. But when you stop to ask, **what percentage of communication is verbal**, the answer is far more nuanced than a single, tidy number. The common belief—that body language and tone account for over 90% of communication—is one of the most persistent, and misunderstood, ideas in modern culture. To understand the real numbers, we must travel back to the 1960s, examine a specific piece of

academic research, and separate the science from the oversimplification that has taken on a life of its own.

The search for a definitive answer to **what percentage of communication is verbal** often leads people to the work of psychologist Albert Mehrabian. His 1971 book, *Silent Messages*, presented findings from two experiments he conducted on the communication of feelings and attitudes. These experiments laid the groundwork for what is now famously (and often incorrectly) called the "7-38-55 rule." According to this rule, only 7% of communication is verbal (the words themselves), 38% is vocal (tone of voice, pitch, pacing), and

55% is visual (body language, facial expressions, gestures). This breakdown has been repeated so often that it has achieved the status of an unshakeable fact. However, the context in which these numbers were derived is critically important, and ignoring that context has led to widespread misunderstanding about the role of words in human interaction.

THE ORIGIN OF THE 7-38-55 RULE

Understa nding Mehrabia

n's Actual Research

Mehrabian's experiments were highly specific. He was not studying communication in general. He was studying the communication of **emotions and attitudes**—specifically, liking and disliking. In one experiment, subjects listened to a single word ("maybe") spoken in different tones of voice. In another, they looked at photographs of facial expressions paired with inconsistent vocal tones. The goal was to see which element—words, tone, or facial expression—had the greatest influence when there was a

contradiction.

What Mehrabian found was that when the verbal, vocal, and visual channels sent conflicting messages, the visual channel (body language and facial expressions) had the most impact. Tone of voice came second, and the actual words came last. This is where the 7-38-55 split comes from. It describes how people **interpret inconsistent emotional messages**, not how all communication works. When a person says "I am fine" while looking angry and speaking in a sharp tone, we tend to believe the face and the voice, not the words.

Why the Context Matters Most

The most important detail that gets lost in popular culture is that Mehrabian himself never intended for these numbers to be applied to all forms of communication. He specifically stated that his findings were limited to situations where a person is communicating feelings or attitudes, and where the verbal and nonverbal signals are incongruent. When a person says "I love you" while smiling warmly, the words and the body language align, and the equation

changes entirely. In fact, in congruent communication, the verbal component carries enormous weight. Asking **what percentage of communication is verbal** without specifying the type of communication—emotional versus informational, congruent versus incongruent—is like asking for the speed of a car without knowing if it is on a highway or in a parking lot.

Despite Mehrabian's own clarifications, his work has been generalized far beyond its original scope. It is now common to see claims that "93% of communication is nonverbal." This is a misinterpretation. The correct statement, based on his research, would be: "When

communicating feelings and attitudes, and when the verbal and nonverbal signals are contradictory, 93% of the **impact** comes from nonverbal cues." That is a far more specific and less dramatic claim, but it is also far more accurate.

BREAKING DOWN THE COMPONENTS OF HUMAN COMMUNICATION

To truly understand **what percentage of communication is verbal**, we have to step back from the 7-38-55 rule and look at the broader landscape of human interaction.

Communication is not a single act. It is a complex orchestration of multiple channels working together. These channels can be broadly grouped into

three categories: verbal, paraverbal, and nonverbal.

Verbal Communi cation: The Words Themselv es

Verbal communication includes the vocabulary, syntax, grammar, and semantic meaning of the words we choose. This is the content of the message. When you read a legal contract, a scientific paper, or a set of instructions, the verbal

component is paramount. The precise meaning of words is what conveys the information. In these contexts, answering the question of **what percentage of communication is verbal** yields a very high number—perhaps 80% or more—because the success of the interaction depends on the accurate transmission of data.

For example, consider a surgeon explaining a procedure to a patient. The words "incision," "infection," and "recovery" carry specific, non-negotiable meanings. No amount of friendly tone or open body language can substitute for the accurate verbal explanation of the risks involved. In this scenario, the words are

the primary vehicle of communication. The paraverbal and nonverbal elements support the message, but they do not replace it.

Paraverbal Communication: The Voice Behind the Words

Paraverbal communication refers to how we say the words. This includes pitch, tone, volume, pace, inflection, and

pauses. If you say "That is great news" in a flat, monotone voice, the paraverbal signal contradicts the verbal content. If you say it with enthusiasm and rising inflection, the two channels align. Paraverbal cues often signal emotional states—excitement, boredom, sarcasm, urgency, or calmness. They can completely change the meaning of a sentence.

The classic example is the phrase "I didn't say you were wrong." By stressing a different word each time, you can change the entire meaning of the sentence:

- I didn't say you were wrong (someone else said it).
- I **didn't** say you were wrong (I am

denying the act of saying it).

- I didn't **say** you were wrong (I may have implied it or written it).
- I didn't say **you** were wrong (I said someone else was wrong).
- I didn't say you were **wrong** (I said you were something else, like mistaken or misinformed).

In this case, the verbal content is identical, but the paraverbal emphasis changes the message entirely. So when we consider **what percentage of communication is verbal**, we must recognize that paraverbal cues often determine the interpretation of the words themselves.

Nonverba | Communi cation: The Silent Language

Nonverbal communication encompasses everything else: facial expressions, gestures, posture, eye contact, personal space, touch, and even physiological responses like blushing or sweating. This channel is powerful because it often operates below the level of conscious awareness. We may not notice a person's crossed arms or averted gaze, but our

brain processes these signals and influences our perception of the interaction.

Nonverbal communication is particularly strong in conveying emotional states and relational dynamics. Trust, dominance, submission, attraction, discomfort, and confidence are often communicated nonverbally. A firm handshake, a direct gaze, and an upright posture can convey confidence without a single word being spoken. In this sense, the nonverbal channel often carries the emotional subtext of the conversation, while the verbal channel carries the literal text.

**DEBUNKING THE
93% MYTH**

Why the Misinterpretation Spreads

The idea that "93% of communication is nonverbal" is appealing for several reasons. First, it validates the importance of body language and emotional intelligence, which are often undervalued in data-driven corporate cultures. Second, it is a memorable, shocking statistic that people love to share. Third, it gives a simple answer to a complex question. However, the persistence of this myth has consequences. It leads people to undervalue

the importance of precise language, clear writing, and verbal articulation.

In reality, the true answer to **what percentage of communication is verbal** depends entirely on the context. In a poetry reading, the words are everything. In a first date, the nonverbal cues may carry far more weight. In a boardroom presentation, the visual aids (slides), the speaker's tone, and the wording of the key messages all contribute to the overall impact. There is no single, universal percentage that applies to all situations.

The Danger of Oversimplification

When we teach people that words "only" account for 7% of communication, we risk devaluing the very tool that allows us to share complex ideas. Language is the defining feature of human civilization. It is how we transmit knowledge across generations, negotiate treaties, create laws, write literature, and build relationships. To suggest that words are nearly irrelevant is not only inaccurate—it is counterproductive. Effective communicators

understand that all channels matter, and the best communicators learn to align their words, tone, and body language into a coherent, unified message.

A better way to think about **what percentage of communication is verbal** is to recognize that different situations demand different ratios. In a technical briefing, verbal communication might account for 70-80% of the effectiveness. In a therapy session, the therapeutic alliance (which is built largely through nonverbal rapport) might account for a larger share. The key is not to memorize a fixed number, but to become sensitive to which channel carries the most weight in a

given moment.

THE ROLE OF CONTEXT, CULTURE, AND MEDIUM

How Medium Changes the Equation

The medium through which we communicate dramatically affects the balance between verbal and nonverbal elements. In a face-to-face conversation, all three channels are active. In a phone call, the visual channel is removed, so the paraverbal channel becomes more

important. In a text message or email, both visual and paraverbal cues are absent, leaving only the words (and perhaps emojis or punctuation marks that try to simulate tone). This is why written communication is so prone to misunderstanding—without tone and body language, the reader must infer intent from words alone.

When considering **what percentage of communication is verbal** in a text-based medium, the answer approaches 100%. The words carry the entire burden of meaning. This is why careful word choice, sentence structure, and clarity are paramount in written communication. Emojis, exclamation points, and formatting

can add a hint of tone, but they are poor substitutes for the richness of vocal inflection and facial expression.

Cultural Variations in Nonverbal Communication

Culture also plays a massive role. In high-context cultures (such as Japan, China, and many Middle Eastern countries), nonverbal cues and contextual factors carry more weight than in low-

context cultures (such as the United States and Germany), where direct verbal communication is preferred. In a high-context culture, what is *not* said can be more important than what is said. A pause, a glance, or a slight bow can convey respect, disagreement, or acknowledgment in ways that words cannot. In low-context cultures, the expectation is that meaning is explicitly stated in words.

This means that the answer to **what percentage of communication is verbal** is not only situational—it is also cultural. A blanket statement about the dominance of nonverbal communication ignores the fact that

communication norms vary widely across the globe. In some cultures, the verbal channel is considered the most trustworthy; in others, it is seen as secondary to the relational and contextual cues that surround it.

**PRACTICAL
IMPLICATIONS FOR
BETTER
COMMUNICATION**

Developing Awareness Across All

Channels

Rather than fixating on a specific percentage, communicators should develop awareness of all three channels and learn to align them. When your words say one thing, your tone says another, and your body language says a third, you create confusion and distrust. Congruence—the alignment of verbal, paraverbal, and nonverbal messages—is far more important than the raw percentage attributed to any single channel. To improve your communication effectiveness:

1. **Listen to your own tone.**
Record yourself speaking and notice whether

your vocal
inflection

matches the
content of your
message.