
Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment Scoring

*Frenchay Dysarthria
Assessment Scoring*

*Downloaded from
remodelicious.com by
Baldwin & Anastasia*

INTRODUCTION: UNDERSTANDING THE FRENCHAY DYSARTHRIA ASSESSMENT SCORING

In the field of speech-language pathology, few tools are as widely recognized and clinically valuable as the Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment (FDA). Developed by Pamela Enderby in the

early 1980s, this assessment provides a systematic framework for evaluating motor speech disorders, particularly dysarthria. At the heart of the FDA lies its scoring system, which transforms subjective observations into quantifiable data. Mastering **Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment scoring** is essential for clinicians seeking to accurately diagnose the type and severity of dysarthria, track progression, and design effective intervention plans. This article explores

the scoring system in depth, examining each component, its clinical significance, and how to apply it in practice.

Dysarthria is a motor speech disorder resulting from neurological injury, affecting the muscles used for respiration, phonation, resonance, articulation, and prosody. The Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment is designed to capture these deficits through a series of tasks that evaluate specific subsystems of speech production. The scoring system uses a five-point ordinal scale, allowing clinicians to rate performance from normal function to severe impairment. By the end of this comprehensive guide, you will understand not only how to score each section but also how to interpret those scores to improve patient outcomes.

WHAT IS THE FRENCHAY DYSARTHRIA ASSESSMENT?

The Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment is a standardized, criterion-referenced tool used to evaluate the presence, type, and severity of dysarthria. It is unique because it combines perceptual assessment of speech with an evaluation of the oral motor mechanisms that support speech production. The assessment covers eight major categories: reflex, respiration, lips, palate, laryngeal function, tongue, intelligibility, and prosody. Each category includes several subtasks that assess specific aspects of motor function.

The scoring system is what makes the FDA so robust. Instead of relying solely

on subjective descriptions like "mild" or "moderate," the **Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment scoring** provides a numerical value for each task. These values can be summed to yield a total score, which helps classify the severity of the disorder. The scoring system is also sensitive to change, making it useful for monitoring progress over time or in response to treatment.

To use the FDA effectively, clinicians must be trained in the administration and scoring procedures. The assessment requires careful observation and audio recording for later review. The standardization of scoring reduces inter-rater variability and increases the reliability of the results. This makes the FDA a cornerstone in both clinical practice and research settings.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE ASSESSMENT

The Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment is organized around the physiological systems involved in speech production. It consists of eight sections, each containing multiple tasks. The clinician observes the patient performing tasks such as blowing, swallowing, articulating specific sounds, and speaking spontaneously. Each task is scored on a scale from 1 to 5, where higher scores indicate less impairment.

- **Section A: Reflex** – Evaluates the cough, swallow, and dribble control.
- **Section B: Respiration** – Assesses breath support during speech and rest.

- **Section C: Lips** – Examines lip movements such as spreading, closing, and protrusion.
- **Section D: Palate** – Tests velopharyngeal function for resonance.
- **Section E: Laryngeal** – Assesses phonation, pitch, and volume control.
- **Section F: Tongue** – Evaluates tongue movement, strength, and coordination.
- **Section G: Intelligibility** – Measures how understandable the speech is.
- **Section H: Prosody** – Examines rhythm, stress, and intonation.

Each section contains between 4 and 8 specific tasks. The clinician scores each

task individually, then combines the scores to derive a overall profile. The scoring manual provides detailed descriptors for each level, ensuring consistency across different assessors.

UNDERSTANDING THE SCORING SCALE

The core of **Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment** scoring is a 5-point ordinal scale. It is important to note that the scale is reversed compared to many assessment tools: a score of 1 indicates normal function, while a score of 5 indicates complete inability or no response. This design can be confusing at first, but it becomes intuitive with practice. The scale is as follows:

1. **Score 1 (Normal):** No difficulty

observed. The task is performed within normal limits for the patient's age and general condition.

2. **Score 2 (Mild):** Mild difficulty is present, but the function is adequate. There may be slight slowness, weakness, or inaccuracy.
3. **Score 3 (Moderate):** Noticeable impairment. The function is clearly impaired but still possible to some degree.
4. **Score 4 (Severe):** Marked impairment. The function is barely performed, with significant effort and limited success.
5. **Score 5 (Very Severe/No Response):** The function is absent or the patient cannot attempt the

task.

For each task, the clinician refers to specific descriptors in the manual. For example, for lip spreading, a score of 1 might be "full retraction of lips in a smile," while a score of 5 might be "no movement observed." This granularity ensures that subtle differences are captured.

Why the Reversed Scale Matters

The reversed scale (1 = normal, 5 = severe) can be counterintuitive for clinicians accustomed to scales where

higher numbers indicate better function. However, this design aligns with the concept of impairment: a higher score indicates a greater deviation from normal. When totaling scores, higher totals indicate more severe dysarthria. This model is consistent with other medical impairment scales, such as the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS).

Clinicians new to the FDA should practice with sample videos or case studies to internalize the scale. Most training programs include inter-rater reliability exercises to ensure consistency. Once mastered, the scoring system becomes a powerful tool for communication among professionals.

SCORING CATEGORIES IN DETAIL

Let us examine each section of the FDA and explore how the scoring system is applied. This granular look will deepen your understanding of **Frenchay Dysarthria Assessment scoring** and help you apply it in clinical settings.

Reflex

This section evaluates three activities: cough, swallow, and dribble (saliva control). These are functions that are often impaired in severe dysarthria due to damage to the lower cranial nerves. For each task, the clinician observes the patient's ability to cough voluntarily, swallow saliva or water, and maintain oral continence. The scoring ranges from

a normal, strong cough (score 1) to absence of any cough reflex (score 5). For swallow, the clinician watches for signs of aspiration, delayed initiation, or incomplete clearance.

Scoring for dribble is particularly important in conditions like amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) or Parkinson's disease. A score of 1 means no visible drooling, while a score of 5 indicates constant drooling requiring management.

Respiration

Respiration is the foundation of speech. The FDA assesses respiration at rest and during speech. Tasks include observing the patient breath at rest, counting numbers on a single breath, and

speaking in a conversational manner. Scoring evaluates the adequacy of breath support for speech. A score of 1 indicates normal breath control and the ability to speak for a full phrase without effort. Higher scores reflect decreased breath support, short phrase length, and frequent pauses.

For example, a patient with moderate respiratory impairment (score 3) might be able to speak only 4-5 words per breath, with audible strain. A score of 5 indicates the patient cannot sustain phonation at all.

Lips

The lip section includes tasks for lip spreading (smile), lip closure (pucker or kiss), lip retraction, and rapid alternating

movements (e.g., /i-u-i-u/). The scoring system rates the range, speed, and symmetry of movements. A score of 1 is given for full, symmetrical, and rapid movement. Scores increase as movement becomes restricted, slow, or asymmetrical. A score of 5 indicates no visible movement.

Functional implications include difficulty with bilabial sounds (/p/, /b/, /m/), poor oral seal, and drooling. The scoring helps identify specific weaknesses that can be targeted in therapy—for instance, a score of 3 in lip closure might lead to exercises for strengthening the orbicularis oris.

Palate

Velopharyngeal function is assessed through tasks such as prolonged phonation of /a/, nasal versus oral sound production, and observation of palatal movement during speech. The key sign of palate dysfunction is hypernasality or nasal air emission. The scoring system rates the degree of nasal resonance. A score of 1 is normal resonance. Mild hypernasality (score 2) may occur during certain vowels. Moderate (score 3) involves consistent hypernasality, while severe (score 4) profoundly affects intelligibility. A score of 5 indicates complete velopharyngeal incompetence with constant nasal escape.

This section is crucial for differential

diagnosis, as hypernasality is characteristic of certain dysarthria types (e.g., flaccid dysarthria due to lower motor neuron lesions affecting CN IX and X).

Laryngeal Function

This section evaluates phonation. Tasks include producing a sustained /a/, varying pitch, and varying loudness. The clinician listens for vocal quality (breathiness, hoarseness, strain), pitch range, and volume control. Scoring reflects the degree of impairment. A score of 1 is a clear, steady voice with normal pitch and volume variation. Higher scores indicate increasing

difficulty: mild hoarseness (score 2), marked roughness or strain (score 3), severe weakness with short phonation time (score 4), and aphonia (score 5).

Laryngeal scoring helps identify disorders like unilateral vocal fold paralysis (often flaccid dysarthria) or hyperadduction (spastic dysarthria). The scoring also informs voice therapy goals, such as increasing breath support for volume or reducing vocal strain.

Tongue

The tongue is critical for articulation. The FDA assesses tongue at rest, during protrusion, retraction, lateral movement, and elevation. Rapid alternating movements (e.g., /t-t-t/ and /k-k-k/) are also scored. The scoring system rates

range, speed, and strength. A score of 1 indicates full, rapid, and symmetrical movement. Scores escalate with limited range, reduced speed, or deviation. A score of 5 is given for no observable movement.

These scores directly correlate with articulatory precision. For instance, a score of 3 in tongue elevation would likely affect sounds like /l/, /t/, /d/, /n/. A patient with severe tongue impairment (score 4 or 5) would have very distorted speech.

Intelligibility

This section is more global than the others, focusing on how understandable the patient's speech is to the listener. The clinician rates intelligibility in three

contexts: single words, sentences, and conversation. The scoring considers the listener's effort: is the speech immediately clear, or does the listener need to guess? A score of 1 is normal intelligibility with no listening effort. Score 2 is mildly reduced, with occasional need for repetition. Score 3 is moderate, with the listener needing to concentrate. Score 4 is severe, with only familiar listeners able to understand. Score 5 indicates unintelligible speech.

Intelligibility scoring provides a functional outcome measure. It reflects the cumulative effect of impairments in all other subsystems. Tracking changes in intelligibility scores over time is highly relevant for evaluating treatment efficacy.

Prosody

The final section assesses the melodic aspects of