
John Locke Two Treatises Of Government

*John Locke Two Treatises Of
Government*

*Downloaded from remodelicious.com by
Shannon & Travis*

UNDERSTANDING JOHN LOCKE'S TWO TREATISES OF GOVERNMENT: A BLUEPRINT FOR MODERN DEMOCRACY

Few works in the history of political philosophy have shaped the modern world as profoundly as **John Locke's Two Treatises of Government**. Published anonymously in 1689, this seminal text provided a devastating critique of absolute monarchy and laid the intellectual foundations for constitutional government, natural rights, and the right of revolution. Its ideas echo through the American Declaration of Independence, the French Revolution, and contemporary debates about liberty and authority. To truly grasp the evolution of Western political thought, one must delve into the arguments Locke presents in these two treatises and understand why they remain essential reading for anyone interested in the principles of a free society.

In the centuries since its publication, **John Locke's Two Treatises of Government** has been studied, debated, and invoked by scholars, activists, and statesmen. Yet its core message is remarkably accessible: legitimate government rests on the consent of the governed and exists to protect the natural

rights of life, liberty, and property. This article will explore the historical context, the key arguments of the First and Second Treatises, Locke's revolutionary concept of property, the social contract, and the lasting influence of this masterpiece on modern governance.

The Historical Context: England's Glorious Revolution

To appreciate the significance of **John Locke's Two Treatises of Government**, one must first understand the turbulent political landscape of seventeenth-century England. Locke wrote during a period of intense conflict between the monarchy and Parliament, culminating in the Glorious Revolution of 1688. King James II's attempts to consolidate royal power and promote Catholicism alarmed the Protestant establishment. When William of Orange invaded and James fled, Parliament offered the throne to William and Mary on terms that limited royal authority—enshrined in the Bill of Rights of 1689.

Locke, a close associate of the Whig leader Anthony Ashley Cooper (the Earl of Shaftesbury), was deeply involved in these political struggles. He had been forced into exile in the Netherlands for his suspected involvement in the Rye House Plot against Charles II. Returning to England after the revolution, Locke published his *Two Treatises* to justify the ousting of James II and to articulate a theory of government founded on popular consent. The work was not a dry academic exercise but a polemical intervention in a live political crisis.

Structure of the Work: First Treatise and Second Treatise

John Locke's Two Treatises of Government is divided into two distinct parts, each serving a different purpose. The **First Treatise** is a point-by-point refutation of Sir Robert Filmer's *Patriarcha*, which argued that kings derive their authority from Adam, making monarchy a natural, divinely ordained institution. Locke dismantles Filmer's biblical exegesis and logical leaps, showing that there is no scriptural basis for hereditary absolute rule. He concludes that no man is born naturally subject to another, and thus political power cannot be founded on paternal right.

The **Second Treatise** is the heart of Locke's positive philosophy. Here he constructs a theory of government based on natural law,

the state of nature, the social contract, and the separation of powers. It is this treatise that has had the most enduring impact, providing a rational justification for limited government and individual rights. Unlike Hobbes, who saw the state of nature as a war of all against all, Locke portrays it as a state of equality and freedom, governed by natural law that teaches peace and goodwill. However, because the state of nature lacks impartial judges and enforcement, individuals agree to form a political society to secure their rights.

The State of Nature and Natural Rights

Locke begins his argument in the **Second Treatise** by imagining the condition of mankind before the establishment of civil government. In this state of nature, all men are perfectly free and equal, possessing inherent rights that no one can take away. These are the famous natural rights: life, liberty, and estate (property). Locke insists that these rights are not granted by government but are inherent in human beings by virtue of their existence as rational creatures made by God.

Importantly, Locke's state of nature is not a lawless chaos. It is governed by natural law, which reason reveals. The law of nature commands that "no one ought to harm another in his life, health, liberty, or possessions." However, because individuals are biased in their own cases, the state of nature becomes inconvenient: disputes arise, and there is no neutral authority to adjudicate

them. To remedy this, men voluntarily give up their executive power to punish transgressors and agree to form a commonwealth. This leads naturally to Locke's theory of the social contract.

The Social Contract and Consent

Central to **John Locke's Two Treatises of Government** is the idea that legitimate political authority rests on the consent of the governed. Locke distinguishes between express consent (explicit agreement, such as signing a contract) and tacit consent (simply living within a country and enjoying its benefits). When individuals consent to join a commonwealth, they agree to abide by the decisions of the majority and to accept the laws enacted by the legislature.

However, Locke is careful to place limits on governmental power. The social contract does not surrender all rights to the sovereign. Instead, individuals entrust their natural rights to the community for better protection. If the government violates its trust—by confiscating property without consent, imposing arbitrary laws, or subverting the legislature—the people have the right to resist and even rebel. This radical idea of a right to revolution was a direct justification for the Glorious Revolution and later inspired the American colonists.

Property: The Labor Theory of Value

Locke's discussion of property in Chapter V of the **Second Treatise** is arguably his most original contribution. He begins with the premise that God gave the earth to mankind in common. How, then, does any particular person come to own a part of it? Locke's answer is labor: "Whatsoever then he removes out of the state that nature hath provided, and left it in, he hath mixed his labour with, and joined to it something that is his own, and thereby makes it his property."

This labor theory of value has profound implications. By working on unowned land, a person acquires rightful ownership. Moreover, Locke argues that the introduction of money (with the consent of all) allows property to be accumulated beyond what one can personally use, because money does not spoil. This justifies unequal property holdings but also sets a limit: one may only appropriate as much as one can use before it spoils, unless money is involved. Critics have noted that Locke's theory could be used to justify colonial dispossession of Indigenous peoples, since Europeans deemed Native Americans not to have "mixed labor" with the land in the same way.

The Legislative and Executive Powers

John Locke's Two Treatises of Government also outlines a theory of separated powers, predating Montesquieu. Locke identifies three distinct functions in government: the legislative, the executive (which includes coercive enforcement and foreign relations), and the federative (the power to make war and peace). He insists that the legislative power must be supreme because it makes the laws, but it is held in trust and cannot be arbitrary. The executive must act within the framework of established laws, but Locke also recognizes a prerogative power—the executive's discretion to act for the public good in emergencies where the law is silent.

This separation is not rigid; for Locke, the legislative and executive are often united in one body, but they are distinct in function. He warns against any single person or assembly holding both the power to make laws and the power to execute them, as that would lead to tyranny. This framework profoundly influenced the architects of the U.S. Constitution, who established separate branches with checks and balances.

The Right to Revolution

Perhaps the most daring element of **John Locke's Two Treatises of Government** is his endorsement of the right to

revolution. When a government repeatedly violates the trust placed in it—when it acts in a “train of abuses” that shows a “design to reduce them under absolute power”—the people have not only a right but a duty to overthrow it. Locke calls this an “appeal to heaven,” meaning that when all earthly remedies fail, the people may resist by force.

This idea directly influenced Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence. Jefferson's litany of grievances against King George III mirrors Locke's criteria for justified rebellion: the king had dissolved representative houses, obstructed the administration of justice, and imposed taxes without consent. For Locke, the dissolution of government is not the same as the dissolution of society; if the government is dissolved, the people retain their rights and may form a new government. This provides a peaceful path for political change, though Locke acknowledges that revolution is a last resort.

Influence on Modern Political Thought

The influence of **John Locke's Two Treatises of Government** cannot be overstated. His ideas directly shaped the liberal tradition in Europe and America. The U.S. Constitution reflects Locke's emphasis on natural rights, the separation of powers, and the consent of the governed. The French Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789) echoes Locke's language: “the aim of all political association is the preservation of the

natural and imprescriptible rights of man.”

Beyond the political realm, Locke’s theory of property influenced classical economics and libertarian thought. His emphasis on individual autonomy, tolerance, and reason laid the groundwork for the Enlightenment. Later philosophers like Jean-Jacques Rousseau and Immanuel Kant engaged critically with Locke’s ideas, advancing the social contract tradition further. In the twentieth century, thinkers like Robert Nozick revived Lockean principles in defending minimal government.

Criticisms and Reinterpretations

No text of such influence escapes criticism. Feminist scholars have pointed out that Locke’s rhetoric of universal rights excluded women, whom he relegated to the private sphere under patriarchal authority. Marxist critics argue that Locke’s labor theory of property actually serves to justify capitalist exploitation and colonialism. Others note that Locke’s notion of tacit consent is weak: simply being born in a country does not constitute meaningful agreement to its government. Moreover, Locke’s own involvement in framing the colonial constitution of Carolina and his investments in the slave-trading Royal African Company raise

troubling questions about the universality of his claimed natural rights.

Despite these critiques, the core arguments of **John Locke’s Two Treatises of Government** remain a powerful resource for those who value individual liberty, constitutionalism, and democratic accountability. Modern interpreters continue to debate the exact meaning of his texts, but his influence on the development of liberal democracy is beyond doubt.

Conclusion: The Enduring Legacy

Reading **John Locke’s Two Treatises of Government** today provides insight into the foundational principles that many democracies still profess. Locke’s arguments against arbitrary rule, his defense of property, his insistence on consent, and his justification of revolution have become part of the global political vocabulary. While the world has changed dramatically since 1689, the questions Locke posed—about the source of political authority, the limits of governmental power, and the rights of the individual—are as urgent as ever. His work remains not merely a historical artifact but a living text, inviting each generation to reconsider the proper ends of government and the meaning of freedom.