

Ten Commandments Twice Removed

Ten Commandments Twice Removed

Downloaded from [remodelicious.com](#) by Jaylin & Hancock

THE SILENT EXODUS: UNDERSTANDING "TEN COMMANDMENTS TWICE REMOVED"

For millennia, the Ten Commandments have served as a foundational moral and legal compass for Western civilization. From the halls of justice to the walls of the classroom, these ancient injunctions have been a touchstone for ethical behavior, influencing everything from legal systems to personal conduct. Yet, in the modern era, a profound shift has occurred. We are living through what is increasingly described as the era of the "Ten Commandments Twice Removed." This phrase, popularized by author Danny Shelton, encapsulates a powerful and unsettling reality: not only have these commandments been physically removed from our public squares, but they have also been systematically removed from our collective consciousness. This article explores the depth of this removal, examining its historical context, its legal battles, its cultural consequences, and what it means for the future of ethics and society.

What Does "Ten Commandments Twice Removed" Really Mean?

To understand the gravity of this phrase, we must unpack its two distinct layers of removal. The first removal is the most visible and widely debated: the physical displacement of the Ten Commandments from public spaces. This includes the removal of stone monuments from courthouse lawns, the prohibition of posting them in public school hallways, and the fading of their imagery from government buildings. This is the physical, tangible removal that has sparked numerous legal battles and heated public discourse.

The second removal, however, is far more insidious and carries greater long-term consequences. This is the removal of the Ten Commandments from the cultural and ethical framework of society. It is the erasure of their principles from our shared moral vocabulary. When a society no longer understands concepts like "honor your parents," "do not steal," or "do not bear false witness" as sacred imperatives rooted in a divine moral order, they become mere suggestions, open to interpretation and negotiation. This second removal represents a profound transformation in how we understand right and wrong, shifting from an objective, transcendent standard to a subjective, culturally-constructed one.

The Historical Context of the First Removal

The journey of the Ten Commandments from public prominence to contested symbol did not happen overnight. For much of American history, they were a fixture of public life. They adorned the walls of schools, were referenced in legal judgments, and served as a shared ethical reference point across denominations. The turning point came in the latter half of the 20th century, with a series of Supreme Court decisions that reinterpreted the relationship between church and state.

The landmark 1980 case **Stone v. Graham** struck down a Kentucky law requiring the posting of the Ten Commandments in public school classrooms. The Court argued that the posting had no secular legislative purpose and served a plainly religious purpose. This decision marked a critical moment in the first removal, establishing a legal precedent that viewed the public display of the Commandments as a violation of the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment. Subsequent cases, including the high-profile **McCreary County v. ACLU of Kentucky** (2005) and **Van Orden v. Perry** (2005), further complicated the landscape, creating a nuanced and often confusing legal framework.

The Deeper Chasm: The Second Removal

While the legal battles over physical displays continue to capture headlines, the second removal is quietly transforming society from within. This removal is operationalized through several key cultural shifts:

- Educational Changes:** The removal of the Ten Commandments from public school curricula has led to a generation less familiar with their content and significance. They are no longer taught as a primary source for Western law and ethics but are often relegated to a brief mention in world history classes or ignored entirely.
- Moral Relativism:** As the concept of objective moral truth has weakened, the absolute nature of the Commandments has become unpalatable. The idea of "thou shalt not" is increasingly replaced with a framework of personal preference and situational ethics.
- Cultural Memory Loss:** With each passing generation, the references to the Ten Commandments in literature, art, and political discourse become less understood. Allusions that were once universally recognized now require explanation, breaking the chain of cultural transmission.
- Secularization of Law:** While our legal system is heavily indebted to the principles of the Ten Commandments, this debt is rarely acknowledged. The foundational connection between biblical law and common law has been largely severed in public discourse.

The Consequences of Being "Twice Removed"

What happens to a society when its foundational moral code is not only removed from its walls but also from its collective memory? The implications are profound and visible across multiple dimensions of social life.

THE EROSION OF ACCOUNTABILITY

The Ten Commandments, at their core, establish a system of accountability that is vertical (to God) before it is horizontal (to others). When this vertical dimension is removed, accountability becomes purely a matter of human agreement. Laws become expressions of power rather than reflections of

justice. Without a transcendent foundation, the very concept of "wrong" becomes fragile, subject to the whims of popular opinion or the interests of the powerful.

THE FRAGMENTATION OF COMMUNITY

Shared moral frameworks are essential for social cohesion. The Ten Commandments provided a common ethical language that transcended individual and group differences. Their removal has contributed to the fragmentation of society into moral tribes, each with its own set of values and justifications. The result is a society that struggles to find common ground on fundamental questions of right and wrong.

THE RISE OF ETHICAL CONFUSION

Particularly among younger generations, there is a palpable sense of ethical confusion. Without a firm grounding in the moral principles that shaped Western civilization, individuals are left to navigate complex ethical questions without a reliable compass. This has led to a paradoxical situation where society expects ethical behavior but has largely abandoned the framework that produces it.

Common Misunderstandings About the "Twice Removed" Concept

Critics of the "Ten Commandments Twice Removed" thesis often raise several objections. It is worth addressing these directly:

- "This is about imposing religion on others."** The argument for the public presence of the Ten Commandments is rarely about religious coercion. Rather, it is about acknowledging the historical and cultural foundations of our legal and ethical systems. Recognizing this influence does not require religious belief.
- "The Ten Commandments are outdated."** While the specific cultural context of ancient Israel is different from ours, the core ethical principles of the Commandments address timeless human challenges: violence, theft, dishonesty, adultery, and disrespect for authority. Their relevance transcends their historical origins.
- "Removing them promotes neutrality."** The claim that removing the Ten Commandments from public life creates a neutral space is itself a value-laden position. True neutrality would allow for a pluralistic recognition of the role religious texts have played in shaping our society.

The Legal Landscape Today

The legal status of the Ten Commandments in public spaces remains a contentious and unsettled area of American law. The current framework, largely shaped by the **Lemon Test** (from *Lemon v. Kurtzman*, 1971), asks whether a government action has a secular purpose, whether its primary effect advances or inhibits religion, and whether it creates excessive entanglement with religion. In practice, this has led to inconsistent results.

Some displays of the Ten Commandments have been permitted when they are part of a larger historical display or when they are presented in a context that emphasizes their historical significance. Others have been struck down when the context suggests an endorsement of a particular religious view. The result is a patchwork of legal outcomes that vary by jurisdiction and the specific facts of each case.

A Path Forward: Reclaiming Without Re-imposing

Is it possible to reverse the second removal without reverting to the first imposition? The answer requires a careful and balanced approach. A path forward might include:

- Educational Restoration:** Teaching the Ten Commandments as a foundational text of Western civilization and law, not as religious doctrine but as a historical and cultural document of profound significance.
- Cultural Literacy:** Ensuring that students and citizens understand the countless references to the Ten Commandments in art, music, literature, and political rhetoric.
- Civil Discourse:** Engaging in respectful conversations about the role of moral frameworks in public life, recognizing both the religious origins of the Commandments and their universal ethical appeal.
- Historical Accuracy:** Rejecting the false narrative that the Ten Commandments have nothing to do with the foundations of our legal system. Acknowledging their influence is a matter of historical integrity, not religious advocacy.

The Global Perspective

This phenomenon of being "twice removed" is not unique to the United States. Across Europe and other parts of the Western world, similar dynamics are at play. The process of secularization, the rise of multiculturalism, and the retreat of religious influence from public life have created similar patterns of removal. However, the specific legal and cultural context of the United States, with its strong tradition of religious liberty and its explicit constitutional protections, makes the American case particularly instructive.

In contrast, many other parts of the world continue to acknowledge the public role of religious moral codes. In countries with different historical trajectories, the Ten Commandments remain a visible and active part of public life. This global variation reminds us that the "twice removed" condition is not inevitable but is the result of specific historical, legal, and cultural choices.

CONCLUSION: BEYOND REMOVAL TO RETHINKING

The concept of "Ten Commandments Twice Removed" is far more than a catchy phrase. It is an accurate diagnosis of a profound cultural transformation. The first removal, from our public spaces,

is a visible symptom of a deeper ailment: the second removal, from our cultural and ethical consciousness. This double removal has left Western society in a precarious position, cut off from one of its primary sources of moral and legal foundation.

However, naming the problem is the first step toward addressing it. Recognizing that we have been "twice removed" allows us to ask the critical question: Can we recover what has been lost? The answer is not to return to a pre-modern era of religious establishment but to find a way to reintegrate the timeless wisdom of the Ten Commandments into our public and private lives in a

manner that respects both their religious origins and their universal ethical value.

Ultimately, the story of the Ten Commandments in the modern world is a story about what happens when a society loses its moral moorings. It is a cautionary tale about the unintended consequences of a well-intentioned separation between religion and public life. The challenge of our time is whether we can bridge the gap between honoring our moral heritage and respecting the pluralistic character of modern society. Doing so will require wisdom, humility, and a willingness to engage with our deepest moral questions not with fear but with thoughtful conviction.