

Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management

Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management

Downloaded from remodelicious.com by Korbin & Natalee

PIONEERING THE HUMAN SIDE OF MANAGEMENT: UNDERSTANDING THE MARY PARKER FOLLETT THEORY OF MANAGEMENT

When we think of the founding figures of modern management, names like Frederick Taylor, Henri Fayol, and Max Weber often come to mind. Yet, one of the most insightful and forward-thinking contributors to management theory is frequently overlooked: Mary Parker Follett. Writing in the early 20th century, Follett introduced concepts that were decades ahead of their time, focusing not on rigid structures or mechanical efficiency, but on the dynamic, human, and relational aspects of organizations. The **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** is a rich tapestry of ideas about conflict resolution, power dynamics, leadership, and coordination. It offers a holistic alternative to the command-and-control models that dominated her era. This article explores the core principles of Follett's theory, explains why it remains profoundly relevant for modern leaders and managers, and demonstrates how her work bridges the gap between classical management and contemporary organizational behavior.

WHO WAS MARY PARKER FOLLETT? A CONTEXT FOR HER THEORY

Mary Parker Follett (1868–1933) was an American social worker, management consultant, and political scientist. She is often called the "mother of modern management," yet her contributions were largely ignored for decades. Unlike Taylor, who viewed workers as cogs in a machine, or Fayol, who focused on administrative hierarchy, Follett saw organizations as social systems composed of people who constantly interact, adapt, and create meaning together. Her ideas were shaped by her background in community work and democratic theory. The **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** emerged from her belief that the true goal of management is not control but integration—harmonizing individual differences to create a unified whole. Her groundbreaking book, "Dynamic Administration," compiles her lectures and remains a cornerstone for anyone interested in participative leadership and collaborative work environments.

CORE PRINCIPLES OF THE MARY PARKER FOLLETT THEORY OF MANAGEMENT

Follett's management philosophy rests on several interconnected pillars. Each principle challenges traditional assumptions and offers a more organic, process-oriented view of organizational life.

1. Constructive Conflict and Integration

Perhaps the most famous part of the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** is her approach to conflict. In stark contrast to the zero-sum thinking common in early management—where one party's gain was another's loss—Follett saw conflict as a natural and potentially productive force. She identified three ways of dealing with conflict:

- **Domination:** One side imposes its will on the other. This is the classic "win-lose" outcome.
- **Compromise:** Each side gives up something to reach a middle ground. While common, Follett argued compromise often leaves both parties dissatisfied.
- **Integration:** Both sides work together to find a solution that satisfies the underlying interests of everyone involved. This creates a "win-win" outcome.

Integration, according to Follett, is the most desirable and effective method. It requires open communication, a genuine desire to understand the other's perspective, and creative thinking to invent new possibilities. For example, if two departments disagree on budget allocation, instead of splitting the difference (compromise) or letting senior management decide (domination), integration involves exploring the root needs of each department and finding a resource reallocation that meets both sets of needs. This principle makes the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** a precursor to modern negotiation theory and interest-based conflict resolution.

2. Power-With vs. Power-Over

Follett made a critical distinction between two types of power. "Power-over" is coercive, hierarchical power—the ability to force someone to do something against their will. This is the traditional view of authority in organizations. In contrast, "power-with" is a coactive, collaborative form of power. It is developed jointly by people working together toward a common goal. Follett argued that effective management must reduce the reliance on power-over and cultivate power-with. She believed that power is not a fixed resource to be hoarded; rather, it can be developed and increased through shared experience and expertise. When managers and employees exercise power-with, they create a climate of mutual respect and collective intelligence. This insight is foundational to the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** and directly influenced later concepts like empowerment, participative decision-making, and team-based structures.

3. The Law of the Situation

Follett introduced the idea that orders should not be handed down based on a person's rank but should emerge from the demands of the situation itself. She famously said, "One person should not give orders to another person, but both should agree to take their orders from the situation." In practice, the law of the situation means that a manager and a subordinate analyze a problem together, determine what the situation requires, and then act accordingly. The source of authority is not the manager's title but the facts and requirements of the task. This principle prefigures the practice of "management by objectives" and the emphasis on situational leadership. It invites managers to be facilitators and co-investigators rather than autocrats. In the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management**, the law of the situation respects the expertise and autonomy of every worker, regardless of their position in the hierarchy.

4. Coordination and Self-Organizing Groups

Follett devoted significant attention to how work activities can be harmonized. She outlined four fundamental principles of coordination:

1. **Coordination as the reciprocal relating of all factors in a situation:** All parts of an organization must interact and adjust to one another continuously.
2. **Coordination by direct contact of the responsible people concerned:** People who need to coordinate should talk directly, not through intermediaries. This speeds up communication and reduces misunderstanding.
3. **Coordination in the early stages:** Planning and decision-making should happen with all relevant parties from the beginning, not after decisions are made and then communicated through the chain of command.
4. **Coordination as a continuing process:** Coordination is not a one-time event but an ongoing, dynamic activity that evolves with the work.

These principles anticipate modern agile methodologies, cross-functional teams, and participatory design. Follett viewed organizations as fluid networks of relationships rather than static hierarchies. For her, the role of the manager was to create the conditions for effective coordination and self-governance among teams. This is perhaps the most radical aspect of the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management**—it treats every worker as a potential leader and decision-maker.

5. Leadership as a Function, Not a Position

Follett redefined leadership. She argued that true leadership is not about commanding from the top but about helping the group see its own expertise and possibilities. The leader's job is to articulate the common purpose, facilitate communication, and integrate diverse contributions. In her view, the best leaders are those who can make others feel powerful and capable. Leadership, therefore, is a function that can be exercised by anyone, depending on the situation. This perspective aligns closely with servant leadership and distributed leadership theories that are popular in contemporary management literature. The **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** challenges the hero-leader myth and replaces it with a collaborative, facilitative model.

RELEVANCE OF FOLLETT'S THEORY IN THE MODERN WORKPLACE

Why should a 21st-century manager care about a theory from the 1920s? Because the challenges of our time—diverse teams, rapid change, knowledge work, and the need for innovation—are exactly the conditions where Follett's ideas shine. The **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** offers a framework for dealing with complexity without resorting to rigid control.

- **In virtual and hybrid teams:** Follett's emphasis on direct contact and early coordination is crucial when people are dispersed. She would likely advocate for regular, informal check-ins and shared digital spaces where the "law of the situation" can be jointly discovered.
- **In conflict resolution:** Before managers turn to compromise or arbitration, Follett's integration method encourages deep listening and creative problem-solving, which can lead to breakthrough solutions.
- **In empowering employees:** The shift from power-over to power-with is at the heart of modern movements like self-managing teams, holacracy, and participative decision-making. Companies that value employee voice and autonomy are directly applying Follett's insights.
- **In strategic planning:** The principle of coordination in the early stages means involving frontline employees in strategy formulation. This not only improves the quality of decisions but builds buy-in and commitment.

Many successful organizations, from tech startups to large cooperatives, unwittingly practice elements of the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** without knowing its origins. Revisiting her full body of work can provide a coherent philosophy that makes these practices more intentional and effective.

COMPARING FOLLETT WITH CLASSICAL AND MODERN THEORISTS

To fully appreciate the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management**, it helps to see how it contrasts with other schools of thought. Frederick Taylor's scientific management focused on standardizing tasks and top-down control. Follett argued that workers should not be passive executors but active contributors to process improvement. Henri Fayol's administrative principles

emphasized chain of command and unity of direction. Follett loosened these rigid lines, advocating for direct communication across levels. Later, the human relations movement (Elton Mayo, Maslow, McGregor) echoed Follett's focus on social needs and motivation, but her work went deeper by providing a complete framework for power, conflict, and coordination. In many ways, Follett predated systems thinking and complexity theory. She saw organizations as dynamic, interconnected wholes, long before these concepts became mainstream.

CRITICISMS AND LIMITATIONS

No theory is perfect. Critics of the **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** note that integration can be time-consuming and may not be feasible in crisis situations requiring swift, decisive action. Additionally, her optimistic view of human nature assumes a willingness to cooperate and a high level of communication skills that may not be present in all organizational cultures. Power-with can also be difficult to implement in highly competitive or risk-averse environments. Furthermore, Follett's writing is dense and philosophical, which may have contributed to its slow adoption. Yet, these limitations do not diminish the enduring value of her core insights.

Many of her ideas—such as the importance of cross-functional collaboration and the dangers of pure compromise—are more relevant than ever.

CONCLUSION: WHY THE MARY PARKER FOLLETT THEORY OF MANAGEMENT MATTERS TODAY

The **Mary Parker Follett Theory Of Management** invites us to rethink the very purpose of management. Instead of seeing it as a mechanism for control, she envisioned it as an art of creating unity from diversity. Her principles of integration, power-with, the law of the situation, and continuous coordination offer a human-centered, democratic, and adaptive approach to leading organizations. In an era of disruption, remote work, and increasing demand for meaningful work, Follett's voice is more resonant than ever. Managers who embrace her philosophy will not only build more effective teams but also more humane and resilient organizations. To ignore Mary Parker Follett is to miss out on a visionary whose ideas can transform how we work together. Let her be a guide for the future of management—one built on respect, collaboration, and shared purpose.