

Meet Your Strawman And Whatever You Want To Know

Meet Your Strawman And Whatever You Want To Know

Downloaded from [remodelicious.com](#) by Sidney & Reilly

UNDERSTANDING THE ALLURE OF THE “MEET YOUR STRAWMAN” CONCEPT

The phrase "**Meet Your Strawman And Whatever You Want To Know**" has circulated in various online communities, legal forums, and alternative belief systems for years. It often appears alongside discussions of sovereign citizenship, the redemption movement, and complex theories about the nature of legal identity. For the uninitiated, the concept can seem both fascinating and bewildering. At its core, it proposes that individuals possess a dual identity: one is the living, breathing human being, and the other is a fictional legal construct—the "strawman"—that is created by the government at birth. The promise of this theory is that by learning the rules and secrets of this system, a person can free themselves from debt, taxation, and the jurisdiction of courts. But what is the reality behind these claims? This article provides a comprehensive, clear-headed look at **Meet Your Strawman And Whatever You Want To Know** about this controversial idea.

THE ORIGINS AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF THE STRAWMAN THEORY

To understand the theory, one must first delve into its purported origins. Proponents of the "strawman" concept often cite a specific legal case, *United States v. Green* (1982), as the foundational proof. In this case, the court reportedly acknowledged that a person's name written in all capital letters on legal documents refers to a separate entity—the "strawman"—rather than the actual person. However, legal scholars and judges have repeatedly clarified that this interpretation is a profound misreading of the case. The reference to an all-caps name was simply a procedural convention for identifying parties in litigation, not a recognition of a separate legal being.

Despite this, the theory took root. It is a central tenet of what is often called the "redemption movement," which argues that at birth, the government creates a security interest in the "strawman" (often linked to a birth certificate) and uses it as a form of collateral to back the national debt. According to this belief, by reclaiming control of this strawman through complex paperwork, individuals can redeem themselves from financial obligations. The concept is a classic example of "pseudolaw," a set of legal-sounding but legally invalid arguments that are designed to confuse and disrupt legal proceedings.

The Core Argument: Human vs. Legal

Person

The theory draws a sharp distinction between the "flesh-and-blood" human being and the "legal person" or "strawman." The strawman is said to be the entity that is subject to laws, taxes, and the jurisdiction of courts. The human being, by contrast, is a sovereign individual who is not subject to these laws. This is where the idea of "meeting your strawman" comes in. It refers to the process of identifying and separating oneself from this fictional legal entity. The theory suggests that once you know the name and details of your strawman, you can begin to interact with the legal system on your own terms, often by filing documents that declare you are acting as a "secured party" or by challenging the court's jurisdiction over you.

Common Terms and Practices Associated with the Strawman

Several terms and practices are commonly associated with this belief system. These include:

- **All-Caps Name:** The idea that writing your name in all capital letters (e.g., JOHN DOE) refers to the strawman, while using standard capitalization (John Doe) refers to the real person.
- **UCC Financing Statements:** Filing a Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) statement with a state government to "claim" or "perfect a security interest" in one's own strawman. This is often done using a social security number as an account number.
- **Lawful Money:** A demand for payment in "lawful money" (often gold or silver) as opposed to "federal reserve notes," which are seen as unbacked currency.
- **Redemption:** The process of settling the fictional debt that is supposedly attached to the strawman.
- **Commercial Lien:** Filing a lien against government officials or judges as a form of retaliation or to "balance the books."

While these practices might sound strategic to the uninitiated, they hold no legal weight. In fact, they are widely recognized by courts as frivolous and are often used as evidence of bad faith in legal proceedings.

WHY PEOPLE SEARCH FOR "MEET YOUR STRAWMAN AND WHATEVER YOU WANT

TO KNOW"

There is a genuine psychological and social appeal to the strawman theory, which explains its persistence. For individuals who feel overwhelmed by debt, powerless against large institutions, or frustrated with the complexity of the legal system, the theory offers a simple, empowering narrative. It provides a clear enemy (the system) and a clear path to victory (knowledge of hidden rules). The phrase itself, with its implication of revealing secret knowledge, is deeply compelling. "Whatever you want to know" suggests that this concept is a key that unlocks everything—a master key to freedom. This promise of total liberation from financial and legal constraints is a powerful motivator. Online forums, YouTube channels, and self-published books often capitalize on this desire, presenting the strawman theory as a lost truth that governments and lawyers don't want you to know.

LEGAL AND JUDICIAL RESPONSES TO THE STRAWMAN ARGUMENT

The legal system, both in the United States and in many other common law countries, has a consistent and well-documented response to the strawman argument: it is summarily rejected. Courts have repeatedly ruled that the "strawman" theory is a legally meaningless construct. Judges have addressed it in numerous written opinions, often using the same clear language. For example, a court might state that "there is no separate entity known as the strawman; you are the individual named in the case."

Consequences of Using Strawman Arguments in Court

Individuals who attempt to use strawman arguments in their own defense often face serious negative consequences. These can include:

1. **Dismissal of Motions:** Any legal motion based on the strawman theory will be immediately dismissed as frivolous.
2. **Sanctions:** Courts can impose financial sanctions on individuals who waste the court's time with "nonsensical" or "frivolous" arguments.
3. **Criminal Penalties:** Filing fraudulent UCC statements or liens against government officials can lead to criminal charges, including felony charges for filing false documents.
4. **Loss of Legal Rights:** By refusing to participate in the legal process as a real person (e.g., by demanding to appear "without prejudice" or as a "secured party"), individuals can inadvertently forfeit their right to a fair defense. They may lose cases by default.
5. **Weakened Credibility:** Once a person has identified themselves as a believer in the strawman theory, their credibility in court is effectively zero. Judges and opposing counsel will treat all of their arguments with deep skepticism.

A consistent theme in the judicial response is that the theory is not a legitimate legal strategy. It is a misunderstanding of legal terminology and procedure, and its application almost always harms the person who is trying to use it.

SEPARATING FACT FROM FICTION: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS

So, what is the truth behind "**Meet Your Strawman And Whatever You Want To Know**"? The factual reality is much simpler than the elaborate conspiracy theory suggests. There is no hidden system of redemption, no secret legal loophole that allows you to opt out of the law. The idea of a strawman is a legal fiction created by the followers of the movement, not by the government. The government does not maintain a "strawman account" for every citizen that can be accessed via UCC filings. The concept is a misinterpretation of commercial law, grammar, and legal procedure.

The Reality of Legal Identity

Your legal identity is real and it is attached to you. When you are born, a birth certificate is issued. This document is a record of a fact, not a financial instrument. When you are taxed, you are being taxed as a person who earns income. When you are sued, you are being sued as the person who caused the harm. The all-caps name in a legal document is a formatting convention, not a separate entity. The entire "strawman" edifice is built on a series of linguistic and legal misunderstandings. As one federal judge wrote in a scathing opinion on the matter, "the 'strawman' argument is pure sophistry, and it does not create a legal basis for any claim or defense."

THE DANGERS AND ETHICAL CONCERNS OF PROMOTING THE STRAWMAN THEORY

While the theory might seem like a harmless form of legal rebellion, it has real-world dangers. The most significant danger is that it leads people down a path that causes them to lose money, time, and legal rights. People have spent thousands of dollars on "gurus" and "coaches" who sell courses and documents that promise to help them meet their strawman. These courses are often filled with incorrect information and can expose the buyer to legal liability. Furthermore, the theory can have a corrosive effect on trust in legal and financial institutions. While healthy skepticism is important, the strawman theory promotes a blanket distrust that prevents people from seeking legitimate legal advice from qualified professionals. This can leave them vulnerable in situations where they desperately need real help, such as during a foreclosure, a divorce, or a criminal case.

CONCLUSION: KNOWLEDGE, NOT STRAWMEN, IS THE REAL KEY

The journey to understand "**Meet Your Strawman And Whatever You Want To Know**" ultimately leads to a clear and sobering conclusion: the strawman is a myth. It is a compelling story, but it is not a legal reality. The true path to understanding the legal system lies not in chasing secret doctrines, but in learning how the law actually works. Real knowledge comes from studying established legal principles, working with licensed attorneys, and understanding your rights and responsibilities as a citizen. The allure of a simple, secret solution to complex problems is

understandable, but the law is not a game of hidden codes and secret loopholes. It is a system of rules, procedures, and precedents. The best way to protect yourself, your assets, and your freedom is to engage with that system based on facts, not fiction. The promise of "meeting your strawman" is a dead end. The real journey is about meeting your own responsibilities with informed, grounded action.