

There Aint No Black In The Union Jack

There Aint No Black In The Union Jack

Downloaded from remodelicious.com by Harry & Edwards

INTRODUCTION: THE WEIGHT OF A PHRASE

The phrase **There Aint No Black In The Union Jack** is far more than a provocative slogan. It is the title of one of the most influential works in cultural studies and critical race theory: Paul Gilroy’s groundbreaking 1987 book, *‘There Ain’t No Black in the Union Jack’: The Cultural Politics of Race and Nation*. For decades, this title has served as a powerful, unsettling shorthand for a deep-seated tension at the heart of British identity. It challenges the very idea of what it means to be British, questioning whether the nation’s symbols, histories, and cultural narratives have ever truly accommodated its Black citizens. This article explores the origins, arguments, and enduring significance of Gilroy’s work, examining how the question of race, nation, and belonging continues to shape contemporary Britain. We will unpack the book’s core themes, analyze its historical context, and consider why, decades after its publication, the question embedded in its title remains as urgent as ever.

ORIGINS OF A PROVOCATIVE TITLE: PAUL GILROY AND THE 1980S

To understand the full force of **There Aint No Black In The Union Jack**, one must first understand the Britain in which it was written. The 1980s were a decade of profound social and political upheaval. Margaret Thatcher’s government promoted a vision of Britishness that was heavily nostalgic, deeply nationalist, and often explicitly exclusionary. This was the era of the “Sus law” (stop and search), the Brixton and Toxteth uprisings, and a political rhetoric that positioned Black and Asian communities as perpetual outsiders. Paul Gilroy, a British-born academic of Guyanese descent, was writing in direct response to this climate.

The phrase itself is a deliberate inversion of a line from the popular musical *Me and My Girl*, where the character sings “The lambeth walk, the lambeth walk, there ain’t no black in the union jack.” In the original, it was a whimsical, almost innocent celebration of a very insular, white working-class identity. Gilroy’s reappropriation turned it into a scathing critique. He used the line to expose how the Union Jack, the very symbol of the British nation, had been weaponized to exclude Black people from the national story. The title is ironic, confrontational, and deliberately uncomfortable. It forces the reader to confront the racism that was, and is, embedded within the idea of “Britishness.”

DECONSTRUCTING THE CORE ARGUMENT: RACE, NATION, AND CULTURE

At its heart, *‘There Aint No Black In The Union Jack’* is a sophisticated analysis of how racism operates in modern Britain. Gilroy moves beyond simple, biological racism to examine what he calls “cultural racism” or “new racism.” This form of racism does not rely on claims of genetic superiority. Instead, it argues that different cultures are simply incompatible, and that the presence of Black and Asian communities threatens the “essential” character of the British nation.

The Nation as a Cultural Entity

Gilroy argues that the idea of the “nation” is not a neutral, natural category. It is a carefully constructed story, built on shared myths, symbols, and memories. In Britain, this national story has been overwhelmingly white. The Union Jack, the monarchy, the countryside, the “Blitz spirit” – all of these symbols are coded as white, even when they are not explicitly racialized. The presence of Black Britons, who have been part of this nation for centuries (through empire, migration, and settlement), disrupts this cozy narrative. The book’s title captures this disruption perfectly: the national symbol says “no black.”

Black Britain and the Politics of Belonging

A central theme of the book is the struggle of Black Britons to claim a place within the nation. Gilroy challenges the idea that Black people in Britain are simply a “minority” who need to be “integrated” into a pre-existing culture. Instead, he argues that Black people are already integral to Britain, having shaped its culture, its cities, and its identity for generations. The problem is not that Black Britons have failed to belong; it is that the dominant definition of Britishness refuses to recognize their belonging. The phrase **There Aint No Black In The Union Jack** thus becomes a lament, a critique, and a call to action. It demands that we ask not “can Black people be British?” but rather “what kind of Britishness excludes them?”

THE DIASPORA AND THE BLACK ATLANTIC

One of the most influential concepts Gilroy develops in this book, and later expands in *The Black Atlantic*, is the idea of a diasporic identity that transcends national boundaries. He argues that Black Britons are not simply part of a British story; they are part of a much larger, transnational story of the African diaspora. This “Black Atlantic” world connects the Caribbean, Africa, America, and Europe through shared histories of slavery, colonialism, resistance, and cultural exchange.

This perspective completely reframes the debate about race and nation. Instead of asking whether Black people can be “integrated,” into Britain, Gilroy shows that Black cultural forms – music, art, language, religion – have always been global. The reggae of Jamaica, the jazz of America, the hip-hop of the Bronx, the dub poetry of London: these are not separate, isolated traditions. They are the living expressions of a diaspora that refuses to stay in its place. The insistence on a purely national identity, symbolized by the Union Jack, is seen as a reactionary attempt to stop this flow, to fix identity in one place, one colour, one history.

THE CULTURAL POLITICS OF MUSIC AND STYLE

Gilroy devotes significant attention to Black music and popular culture as sites of resistance and identity-formation. For him, music is not just entertainment; it is a form of politics. In the 1970s and

1980s, the soundsystem culture of reggae, dub, and later jungle and hip-hop became a way for Black youth in British cities to create their own spaces, their own communities, and their own sense of identity. These spaces were explicitly defiant of the white, mainstream culture that sought to marginalize them.

This is a crucial aspect of the book’s argument. When the nation’s official symbols, from the Union Jack to the national anthem to the Royal Family, offer no place for you, you must create your own. The book shows how Black British culture did exactly that. It built a vibrant, autonomous world of clubs, record shops, radio stations, and street fashion. This cultural production was a direct challenge to the idea that there was “no black in the Union Jack.” It said, in effect: “we are here, we are British, and we will create our own symbols of belonging.”

CRITIQUE OF LEFT AND RIGHT: BEYOND BINARY POLITICS

One of the most striking features of Gilroy’s analysis is his willingness to criticize both the political right and the traditional left. He is scathing about the racism of conservative nationalism, which used the Union Jack as a baton to beat immigrants. But he is equally critical of a certain kind of left-wing politics that either ignored race entirely or reduced it to a simple matter of class. Gilroy argues that the traditional left, with its focus on the white working class, often failed to understand the specific experiences of Black workers.

He also critiques a form of Black nationalism that he sees as mirroring the very exclusionary logic of white nationalism. For Gilroy, any politics that defines identity in a rigid, essentialist way – whether it is based on race, nation, or ethnicity – is ultimately limiting. The goal, he suggests, is not to create a Black Union Jack, but to radically reimagine what the Union Jack could mean, or to move beyond the need for such exclusive national symbols altogether. This refusal of easy binaries is part of what makes the book so enduringly relevant.

CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE: IS THERE STILL NO BLACK IN THE UNION JACK?

It is tempting to look at Britain today and see a very different picture from the one Gilroy described in 1987. The Windrush generation has been celebrated (even as its members were scandalously mistreated by the Home Office). There are Black MPs, Black ministers, Black CEOs, Black artists at the centre of British culture. The Union Jack is now flown by people of all backgrounds during royal celebrations and football tournaments. On the surface, it might seem that the title of Gilroy’s book has been rendered obsolete.

However, to conclude this would be to miss the deeper argument. The persistence of structural racism, the over-representation of Black men in the prison system, the disparities in education, health, and employment, the rise of far-right nationalism, the hostile environment policy – all of these suggest that the core problem Gilroy identified has not disappeared. The question is no longer whether Black people can be seen as British, but on what terms. Is belonging still conditional? Does it require assimilation, the suppression of difference, the acceptance of a national story that still marginalizes the histories of empire and slavery?

The Union Jack Today: A Contested Symbol

The Union Jack itself remains a deeply contested symbol. For some, it is a benign emblem of national unity. For others, it is still tainted by its association with empire, colonialism, and the National Front. The debate over the “English” flag versus the “British” flag, and who has the right to claim them, is a direct echo of the questions Gilroy raised. The phrase **There Aint No Black In The Union Jack** continues to resonate because it captures a persistent anxiety about who truly belongs to this nation.

Black Lives Matter and the Statue Debate

The 2020 Black Lives Matter protests, and the toppling of the statue of slave trader Edward Colston in Bristol, brought these issues to the forefront of public debate. The protests were, in many ways, a direct challenge to the national story that Gilroy critiqued. They demanded a reckoning with Britain’s imperial past and its ongoing racial inequalities. The backlash against the protests, with politicians and commentators defending the statues and the national narrative, showed that the resistance to a more inclusive Britishness remains powerful. In this context, Gilroy’s analysis of how national symbols are used to police belonging feels more relevant than ever.

CRITIQUES AND EVOLVING DEBATES

Like any seminal work, *‘There Aint No Black in the Union Jack’* has not been without its critics. Some have argued that Gilroy’s focus on Black cultural politics, particularly music, can veer towards romanticism, overstating the political power of style and sound. Others have suggested that his analysis, focused as it is on the Black Atlantic, does not adequately account for the experiences of other racialized groups in Britain, particularly South Asian communities whose relationship to empire and diaspora is different.

Furthermore, the landscape of race and identity has shifted significantly since the 1980s. The rise of Islamophobia, the debates about multiculturalism, the politics of the burkini and the hijab, and the complexities of second and third-generation identities have added new layers to the conversation. Gilroy himself has continued to evolve his thinking, moving towards a wider critique of “postcolonial melancholia” and the refusal of Britain to properly mourn its imperial past. The core insight of his book, however, remains: the nation is not a fixed, natural entity, but a story that is constantly being told, and the question of who gets to tell it is a deeply political one.